



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/021,855 | 12/13/2001 | Michel Bisson | BEAS-01052US1 | 3936 |
| 23910 | 7590 | 05/26/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 | | | PARDO, THUY N | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2165 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/021,855

Applicant(s)

BISSON ET AL.

Examiner

Thuy Pardo

Art Unit

2165

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2005.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 24-39 and 63-76 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 24-39 and 63-76 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/5/2002.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Response to Election/Restriction filed on March 03, 2005 has been reviewed. Group II (claims 24-39 and 63-67) has been elected and claims 68-76 have been added.

2. Claims 24-39 and 63-76 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 24-39 and 63-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Sutcliffe et al.** (Hereinafter "Sutcliffe") US Patent No. 6,073,105.

4. As to claim 24, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

(a) obtaining a base user java bean adapted to work through a personalization server to access said personalization database, said base user java bean adapted to provide a transparent interface through which implicit and explicit properties can be retrieved and updated from the

Art Unit: 2165

personalization database [retrieving information from one or more disparate databases for storage in a PON database, ab; col. 2, lines 25-44]; and

(b) creating an enterprise java bean to extend the base user java bean such that said implicit and explicit properties can further be retrieved and updated from an external user database [col. 1, lines 64 to col. 2, lines 24; col. 9, lines 57-67].

As to claim 25, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches the step of generating transparent read and write access to said external database through the extended said base user java bean [update PON database, col. 2, lines 11-17].

As to claim 27, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches that said server is a personalization server [54 of fig. 1; fig. 3].

As to claim 28, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches that said external user database is selected from the group consisting of legacy databases, corporate databases, and customer databases [196-208 of fig. 6].

As to claim 29, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches that said external user database contains data selected from the group consisting of authentication information, user lists, group lists, and group membership [col. 12, lines 11-65; fig. 4A].

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 30, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches obtaining a security realm adapted to allow authentication of data in said personalization database and said external user database [fig. 4A-4J].

As to claim 31, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches that the extended base user java bean utilizes a property set, said property set adapted to give namespace qualifications to implicit and explicit properties of said data in said personalization database [fig. 4A-J].

As to claim 32, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches that said implicit and explicit properties comprise getter and setter properties [fig. 4A-4J; ab].

As to claims 26 and 33, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

As to claim 34, Sutcliffe teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Sutcliffe further teaches configuring a server to operate said transparent interface [col. 7, lines 42-49; col. 9, lines 57-67].

As to claims 35-39 and 63-76, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Art Unit: 2165

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at 571-272-4083.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows: (703) 872-9306 (Official Communication)

and/or:

571-273-4082 (*Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions*).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2165

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal
Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 12, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'THUY N. PARDO', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

**THUY N. PARDO
PRIMARY EXAMINER**